

United States Patent and Trademark-Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/900,004 07/05/2001 Thor Bakke SD6853/S96530 5135 7590 08/05/2003 Timothy D. Stanley EXAMINER Sandia National Laboratories NGUYEN, KHIEM M P. O. Box 5800 - MS-0161 Albuquerque, NM 87185-0161 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2839

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) 09/900004 BAKKE
	Examiner K, NGUXEV Group Art Unit 2839
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3—_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SiX (6) MONTHS by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It is date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-3/	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) 1-10, 12-/9	is/are allowed.
(K) Claim(s) 1-10, 12-/9	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived.
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Application No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	nave been received
in this national stage application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)) □ Interview Summary, PTO-413
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
Attachment(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/900004

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) or Vawter et al. (6,229,947) in view of Dutting et al. and Japan'405.

The APA discussed in the background of the instant invention and Vawter et al. discloses a tapered rib optical waveguide in which the thickness of the waveguide core varying in proportion to the width of a mesa structure or substrate as being recited by the present invention.

Dutting et al. disclosed that it is known to vary the thickness of the waveguide core with distance UB1 over a substrate.

Japan'405 as shown in figure 4 shows that it is also known to vary a width of a mesa structure Q with respect to a waveguide.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skilled in the art to provide or construct the APA or Vawter et al. Waveguide to have a core with a thickness varying in

Art Unit:

proportion to the width of a mesa structure in view of the teachings of Dutting et al. and Japan'405.

These features in addition to the material selection of the waveguide and it specific dimensions as being recited are only relate to obvious choice of material and routine experimentations.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 20-31 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The specific features of the optical spot size transformer as being recited in claim 20 and the method for forming the vertically tapered optical waveguide as being recited in claim 28 is not anticipated or suggested by the prior art of record.

Art Unit:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1738.

KHIEM NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

K.N.

July 28, 2003